Department of Physics, KITS, Cbe- 114.

IQAC - Student Feedback on Academic Quality (2018-19)

Division: Physics Reg. No. of Student: PRK17 PH10 03

	1. Very Good 2. Good 3. Average 4. Poor		5. Ve	ry Po	or	
#	Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
A) Ac	ademic Course					
1	Choice Based Credit System and Course Design	/				
2	Choice of course content to meet placement requirement		/			
3	Knowledge and intellectual enhancement through course content		/			
4	Teaching hours per week and credits allotted for each course	/				1.
5	Syllabus and suggestion of resources for further reading	/				
6	Freedom in selecting elective and inter-departmental courses	/				
B) Te	aching and Evaluation	in tiers	fills:	guig B		
7	Teaching method followed by teachers	/				
8	Focus on practical knowledge, assignments and activities	/				
9	Preparation, communication and attitude of teachers	/				
10	Weightage given to different components of continuous internal assessment and its implementation		/			
11	Fairness of evaluation method followed for continuous assessment and semester exam	/				
12	Availability of faculty for interaction and guidance	/				
13	Mechanisms available to redress academic grievances					
14	Helpful attitude of administrators and non-teaching staff to provide conducive atmosphere for learning		/			
C) Fa	cilities					
15	Library facilities		/			
16	Lab / ICT facilities		~			
17	Residence facilities		/			
18	Recreational and student counselling facilities		/			
D) G	uidance					ellan a
19	Procedure followed in extension activities					
E) Ex	tension			Val.		
20	Extracurricular activities available and student participation			<u> </u>		
21	scope offered for enhancing knowledge and skills through various clubs		/			
F) O	verall				Tariba Maria La Capación	E of the
22	Overall rating of the program and other facilities provided		/			

Additional Comments/Recommendations if any	
NIL.	

Signature

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC) Karunya Institute of Technology and Sciences Coimbatore – 641 114

Department of Physics - IQAC - Alumni Feedback (2018-2019)

#-	Criteria	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Poor
	A) Course Content of Program Att	ended				
1	The level of knowledge enrichment achieved through the course content	/				
2	Allotment of credits for each course and teaching hours per week	~				
3	The syllabus, design, resource and outcome of each course		/			
4	Choice provided to select elective courses and inter departmental courses	/				
5	The course content enabled acquiring of skills relevant to placement opportunities		/			
	B) Industry Relevance of Course C	ontent			新新花	
6	Courses give more importance to ethical practices so as to mould the personality traits of learners		/			
7	Courses taught link the knowledge they gain with the real world situations		✓			
8	Courses impart more practical knowledge than theory		~			
9	Course design narrows the gap between Industry and academia		/			
	C) Teaching and Evaluation				THE P	
10	Teaching method followed by teachers	/				
11	Syllabus portions for each course given for self-study and learning in forms of assignments, seminars, etc.	/				
12	Preparation, communication, and helpful attitude of teachers in assisting the learners	/				
13	Weightage given to different components of continuous internal assessment and the way in which they are implemented	~				
14	Fairness of evaluation method followed for continuous internal assessment and semester exam	/				
15	Availability of faculty for interaction and guidance	/				
16	Mechanisms available to redress academic grievances	/				
17	Helpful attitude of administrators, staff and non-teaching staff to provide suitable campus culture and atmosphere	/				
	D) Facilities			Talkatet S.		Yes.
18	Library facilities					
19	Lab / ICT facilities	/				
20	Day Scholar-faeilities / Hostel facilities	/				
21	The recreational and student counselling facilities		/	LES SERVICIONES		
17720 STEPASON	E) Outreach Activities		Parties the	11-14-15	Constant	2350 F-1
22	Methodology followed in extension activities					
23	Extracurricular activities available and student					

	participation in them		-	
24	The scope offered for enhancing knowledge in the scope of			
21	through various clubs			
25	Overall retire Col		ing with the street	CAN SERVICE CO
23	Overall rating of the program and its implementation	V	- 130-45-21-17-18-24-24-24-24-24-24-24-24-24-24-24-24-24-	Programme and the

FEEDBACK ABOUT THE INSTITUTION

. Do you	eel proud to be as	sociated with you	ır instit	ution a	as an a	ılumnus?
	Yes, I am	so pround	_to_	be	an	alumnus
diparta quite	development? The development development was considered to the development of the development?	sprental a	the	lies Ins	ori tiful	partment / Institution for your ganized by the tion level it was ution / Department? How?
4. Your	vision for the Depa	artment <u>Bevelop</u> m	ove	Lab	, fa	cili fies
5. Any	other suggestions/c	omments: VIL	_			
						P.B.

Signature

Industrial Expert Feedback on Curricular Design and Development

Name of the Person	Industry Name	Academic year
Dr.Ebenezer	Kodaikanal Solar	2018-2019
Chellasamy	Observatory	
Programme	Address	Place
M.Sc Physics	Kodaikanal Solar Observatory, Indian Institute of Astro Physics	Kodaikanal

Note: The scales mentioned in the questionnaire are as follows:

1. Commendable 2. Highly Satisfactory 3. Satisfactory 4. To be improved 5. Poor

S. No	Questions	1	2	3	4	5
1	Courses handled caters to the Regional/ National /	X				
1	Global needs					
2	Courses integrate / augment Professional and					
	Employable skills			X		
3	Course contents are relevant to the societal need	X				
3	and include recent topics					
	Courses involve problem solving / analytical /					
4	creative and innovative skills required for the					
	students		X			
5	Courses involve sufficient lab work / case studies/					
3	field trips etc.		X			
	Courses motivate the students to use the resources	X				
6	such as library and e-gadgets for their learning					
	Curriculum contains wide range of courses under					
7	CBCS including Core, Core Electives, Value					
	Additions, Projects, etc.					
	The credit and grading system followed are					
8	indicative of the weightage of the courses offered		X			
	The Curriculum design, Teaching-Learning-	X				
9	Evaluation and examination transactions are					
	effectively carried on time					
10	The evaluation schemes fulfils the learning system	X				
	as student-centric					
	The opportunity given to me to design the courses					
11	as per the common objective of the department for					
11	the benefit of students		X			

Additional Comments/Recommendations if any

Department specific physics courses can be offered for the first B.Tech Engineering.

Signature with date

Employer Feedback (2018-2019)

Name of the HEI/Company: Sri Sakthi Institute of Engineering and Technology

Contact No.:9786452504

Email Address:dr.knpr@gmail.com

Name of the Official: Dr.Navaneetha Pandiaraj

Designation: Professor & Head

Department of Physics

Kindly Mark 'X' in the cell

Note: A - Excellent, B - Satisfactory, C - Not Satisfactory & D - Unsatisfactory

I. Quality of the student A B C							
1	Student interaction during presentation	X					
2	Student Aptitude		X				
3_	Student Behaviour	X					
4	Attitude and Motivation level	X					
5	Subject Knowledge		X				
6	Technical Knowledge	X					
7	Communication Skill	X					
8	Group Discussion Performance	X					
9	Interview Performance		X				
10	Overall - Student performance		X				
II.	II. Any other comments / suggestions						

Controleza

Signature